UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

51206

7590

11/22/2010

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP/ORACLE TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 EXAMINER

CHONG CRUZ, NADJA N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3623

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2010

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/858,122 | 05/15/2001 | Steven Bruce Katz | 021756-050600US | 1464 |

09/858,122 05/15/2001 Steven Bruce Katz 021756-050600US

TITLE OF INVENTION: METHOD FOR MANAGING A WORKFLOW PROCESS THAT ASSISTS USERS IN PROCUREMENT, SOURCING, AND DECISION-SUPPORT FOR STRATEGIC SOURCING

| APPLN. TYPE | SMALL ENTITY | ISSUE FEE DUE | PUBLICATION FEE DUE | PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE | TOTAL FEE(S) DUE | DATE DUE |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------|
| nonprovisional | NO | \$1510 | \$300 | \$0 | \$1810 | 02/22/2011 |

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or <u>Fax</u> (571)-273-2885

ap in m

| appropriate. All further | correspondence including d below or directed oth | g the Patent, advance o | orders and notification of ration a) specifying a new corres | naintenance fees wi | ill be mailed t | o the current | correspondence address as |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| | ENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Blo | ock 1 for any change of address) | Noti Feei pape have | e: A certificate of r (s) Transmittal. This ers. Each additional erits own certificate | nailing can on s certificate can paper, such as of mailing or to | ly be used for nnot be used for an assignmen | domestic mailings of the or any other accompanying at or formal drawing, must |
| TWO EMBARC 8TH FLOOR | CADERO CENTER | D AND CREW L | LP/ORACLE The | Cert | ificate of Mail | ing or Transn | |
| SAN FRANCISO | CO, CA 94111-3834 | 1 | | | | | (Depositor's name) |
| | | | | | | | (Signature) |
| | | | | | | | (Date) |
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DO | OCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/858,122 TITLE OF INVENTION DECISION-SUPPORT I | | | Steven Bruce Katz W PROCESS THAT ASS | ISTS USERS IN P | 021756-050 ROCUREMEN | | 1464 G, AND |
| APPLN. TYPE | SMALL ENTITY | ISSUE FEE DUE | PUBLICATION FEE DUE | PREV. PAID ISSUE | FEE TOTA | L FEE(S) DUE | DATE DUE |
| nonprovisional | NO | \$1510 | \$300 | \$0 | | \$1810 | 02/22/2011 |
| EXAM | INER | ART UNIT | CLASS-SUBCLASS |] | | | |
| CHONG CRU | Z, NADJA N | 3623 | 705-007000 | J | | | |
| "Fee Address" indi PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-0 Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME A | ess an assignee is identi h in 37 CFR 3.11. Comp | 'Indication form ed. Use of a Customer A TO BE PRINTED ON | (1) the names of up to or agents OR, alternating (2) the name of a single registered attorney or a 2 registered patent attolisted, no name will be the PATENT (print or type data will appear on the patent attolisted). The PATENT (print or type data will appear on the patent as substitute for filing an (B) RESIDENCE: (CITY) | e firm (having as a agent) and the name rneys or agents. If n printed. | member a 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | below, the do | cument has been filed for |
| Please check the appropri | iate assignee category or | categories (will not be p | rinted on the patent): \Box | Individual 🖵 Co | rporation or oth | ner private gro | up entity Government |
| ☐ Issue Fee ☐ Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted) ☐ Advance Order - # of Copies | | | b. Payment of Fee(s): (Please first reapply any previously paid issue fee shown above) A check is enclosed. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number (enclose an extra copy of this form). | | | | |
| 5. Change in Entity Stat | t us (from status indicated s SMALL ENTITY statu | | ☐ b. Applicant is no lon | ger claiming SMAL | L ENTITY sta | tus. See 37 CF | R 1.27(g)(2). |
| | d Publication Fee (if requ | ired) will not be accepte | ed from anyone other than t | | | | |
| Authorized Signature | | | | Date | | | |
| Typed or printed name | | | | Registration No | 0 | | |
| This collection of inform an application. Confident submitting the completed this form and/or suggesti Box 1450, Alexandria, V Alexandria, Virginia 223 | tiality is governed by 35 I application form to the ons for reducing this but irginia 22313-1450. DC | FR 1.311. The informatic U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will vary den, should be sent to the NOT SEND FEES OR | on is required to obtain or r 1.14. This collection is est y depending upon the indiv the Chief Information Office COMPLETED FORMS TO | retain a benefit by the imated to take 12 m ridual case. Any cor er, U.S. Patent and T D THIS ADDRESS. | ne public which ninutes to comp mments on the Frademark Offi SEND TO: Co | is to file (and plete, including amount of tin ice, U.S. Depa ommissioner f | by the USPTO to process) g gathering, preparing, and le you require to complete rtment of Commerce, P.O. or Patents, P.O. Box 1450, |

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/858,122 | 05/15/2001 | Steven Bruce Katz | 021756-050600US | 1464 | |
| 51206 75 | 590 11/22/2010 | | EXAMINER | | |
| TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP/ORACLE TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER | | | CHONG CRUZ, NADJA N | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 | | | 3623 DATE MAILED: 11/22/201 | 0 | |

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 964 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 964 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 (571)-272-4200.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|-----------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Notice of Allowability | 09/858,122 | KATZ ET AL. | |
| Notice of Allowability | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | NADJA CHONG CRUZ | 3623 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in to or other appropriate commun IGHTS. This application is su | his application. If not included ication will be mailed in due cours | se. THIS |
| 1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the documents filed of</u> | on 7 October 2010. | | |
| 2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 140-145,150,151,155-159,162 | ,169-174,182,183 and 231-23 | <u>8</u> . | |
| 3. | | (f). | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received in Application | No | |
| 3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the priority do | cuments have been received | in this national stage application fi | rom the |
| International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| * Certified copies not received: | | | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. | | reply complying with the requirer | ments |
| 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give | | | E OF |
| 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus | st be submitted. | | |
| (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers | son's Patent Drawing Review | (PTO-948) attached | |
| 1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date | • | | |
| (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner'Paper No./Mail Date | s Amendment / Comment or i | n the Office action of | |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t | | | i) of |
| DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT | | | the |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | E Notice of Info | rmal Datant Application | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5. ☐ Notice of Info 6. ☐ Interview Sur | rmal Patent Application | |
| Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), | Paper No./M | lail Date mendment/Comment | |
| Paper No./Mail Date <u>10/7/2010</u> | | | |
| 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | | tatement of Reasons for Allowand | ce |
| | 9. 🗌 Other | | |
| | /Beth V. Boswell | | |
| | Supervisory Pate | nt Examiner, Art Unit 3623 | |
| | | | |

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following action is a response to the communication of 7 October 2010. Claims 140, 142,

144, 155-158, 231 and 237-238 have been amended and claim 230 have been cancelled by

Examiner's Amendment. Examiner notes that the Examiner's Amendment was previously sent on

9 July 2010.

2. Claims 140-145, 150-151, 155-159, 162, 169-174, 182-183 and 231-238 are now pending in this

application and are allowed.

3. This action includes Examiner's Amendment and Reasons for Allowance.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7 October 2010 was filed after the

mailing date of the Notice of Allowance on 9 July 2010. The submission is in compliance with the

provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered

by the examiner.

Examiner's Amendment

5. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be

unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure

consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the

issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Andrew J.

Lee (Reg. # 60,371) on July 2, 2010. It is further noted that the agreement was reached via a

series of conversation that took at various times from 6/24/2010 until 7/2/2010. The application

has been amended as follows:

In the claims:

Please amend claim 140 as follow:

140. A method comprising:

Art Unit: 3623

discovering, by a computer system, internal and external data related to a plurality of components to be procured by an enterprise, wherein the internal data originates from one or more data sources internal to the enterprise, and wherein the external data originates from one or more data sources external to the enterprise;

storing, by the computer system, the discovered internal and external data in a data mart; analyzing, by the computer system, the internal and external data using a first analysis module to determine criticality ratings for the plurality of components, wherein the criticality rating for a component indicates the strategic importance of the component to operations of the enterprise, and wherein the analyzing performed using the first analysis module is based on a first set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

analyzing, by the computer system, the internal and external data using a second analysis module to forecast prices for the plurality of components set by suppliers external to the enterprise, wherein the analyzing performed using the second analysis module is based on a second set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

analyzing, by the computer system, the internal and external data using a third analysis module to determine optimal inventory levels for the plurality of components, wherein the analyzing performed using the third analysis module is based on a third set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

analyzing, by the computer system, the internal and external data using a fourth analysis module to identify components in the plurality of components that are shortage risks, wherein the analyzing performed using the fourth analysis module is based on a fourth set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

collecting and integrating the analyzed data from the first, second, third and fourth

analysis modules through a plurality of algorithms that optimize the analyzed data based on the

first, second, third and fourth set of user-defined parameters;

Art Unit: 3623

recommending by the computer system, one or more proposed actions to take with respect to procurement of the plurality of components based on the analyzing performed using the first, second, and third analysis modules collecting and integrating performed; and

providing, by the computer system, one or more computer-initiated options for fully or partially executing an action in the one or more proposed actions.

Please amend claim 142 as follow:

142. The method of claim 140, further comprising producing, by the computer system, one or more reports based on the analyzing performed using the first, second, [[and]] third, and fourth analysis modules, wherein the one or more reports provide the user with information regarding an impact or potential impact of the discovered internal and external data on procurement decisions, sourcing decisions and strategic sourcing decisions in the enterprise with respect to the plurality of components, and wherein the one or more reports include information displayed in one or more graphical formats.

Please amend claim 144 as follow:

144. The method of claim 140, further comprising producing, by the computer system, one or more reports based on the analyzing performed using the first, second, [[and]] third, and fourth analysis modules, wherein the one or more reports provide the user with information regarding an impact or potential impact of the discovered internal and external data on procurement decisions, sourcing decisions and strategic sourcing decisions in the enterprise with respect to the plurality of components, and wherein the one or more reports include information represented in one or more non-graphical formats.

Please amend claim 155 as follow:

155. The method of claim 140, wherein the steps of analyzing the discovered internal and external data using the first, second, [[and]] third, and fourth analysis modules uses data from the step of discovering the internal and external data to produce one or more reports intended to assist the user in procurement decisions, sourcing decisions, or strategic sourcing decisions.

Please amend claim 156 as follow:

Art Unit: 3623

156. The method of claim 140, wherein the step of recommending one or more proposed actions uses data from the steps of analyzing the discovered internal and external data using the first, second, [[and]] third, and fourth analysis modules to make recommendations for possible actions based on the discovered internal and external data.

Please amend claim 157 as follow:

157. The method of claim 140, wherein the steps of analyzing the discovered internal and external data using the first, second, [[and]] third, and fourth analysis modules collects data from the step of discovering the internal and external data and additional data from user input to make recommendations in real time.

Please amend claim 158 as follow:

158. The method of claim 140, wherein the step of executing one or more actions uses data from the steps of analyzing the discovered internal and external data using the first, second, [[and]] third, and fourth analysis modules as input for the user to decide which recommendations to execute and when to execute them.

Please cancel claim 230.

Please amend claim 231 as follow:

231. The method of claim 140 further comprising:

analyzing, by the computer system, the internal and external data using a fourth fifth analysis module to determine, for each component in the plurality of components, an allocation of a purchase quantity of the component across a set of suppliers, wherein the allocation is based on contractual obligations with the set of suppliers and performance ratings for the set of suppliers, and wherein the analyzing performed using the fourth fifth analysis module is based on a fourth fifth set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data.

Please amend claim 237 as follow:

237. A system comprising:

a storage device configured to store internal and external data related to a plurality of components to be procured by an enterprise, wherein the internal data originates from one or Art Unit: 3623

more data sources internal to the enterprise, and wherein the external data originates from one or more data sources external to the enterprise; and

a processing component communicatively coupled with the storage device, the processing component being configured to:

analyze the internal and external data using a first analysis module to determine criticality ratings for the plurality of components, wherein the criticality rating for a component indicates the strategic importance of the component to operations of the enterprise, and wherein the analyzing performed using the first analysis module is based on a first set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

analyze the internal and external data using a second analysis module to forecast prices for the plurality of components set by suppliers external to the enterprise, wherein the analyzing performed using the second analysis module is based on a second set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

analyze the internal and external data using a third analysis module to determine optimal inventory levels for the plurality of components, wherein the analyzing performed using the third analysis module is based on a third set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

analyze the internal and external data using a fourth analysis module to identify
components in the plurality of components that are shortage risks, wherein the analyzing
performed using the fourth analysis module is based on a fourth set of user-defined parameters
that qualify the internal or external data;

collect and integrate the analyzed data from the first, second, third and fourth analysis modules through a plurality of algorithms that optimize the analyzed data based on the first, second, third and fourth set of user-defined parameters;

recommend one or more proposed actions to take with respect to procurement of the plurality of components based on the analyzing performed using the first, second, and third analysis modules collecting and integrating performed; and

Art Unit: 3623

provide one or more computer-initiated options for fully or partially executing an action in the one or more proposed actions.

Please amend claim 238 as follow:

238. A <u>non-transitory</u> computer readable storage medium having stored thereon instructions executable by a computer system, the instructions comprising:

instructions that cause the computer system to discover internal and external data related to a plurality of components to be procured by an enterprise, wherein the internal data originates from one or more data sources internal to the enterprise, and wherein the external data originates from one or more data sources external to the enterprise;

instructions that cause the computer system to store the discovered internal and external data in a data mart;

instructions that cause the computer system to analyze the internal and external data using a first analysis module to determine criticality ratings for the plurality of components, wherein the criticality rating for a component indicates the strategic importance of the component to operations of the enterprise, and wherein the analyzing performed using the first analysis module is based on a first set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

instructions that cause the computer system to analyze the internal and external data using a second analysis module to forecast prices for the plurality of components set by suppliers external to the enterprise, wherein the analyzing performed using the second analysis module is based on a second set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

instructions that cause the computer system to analyze the internal and external data using a third analysis module to determine optimal inventory levels for the plurality of components, wherein the analyzing performed using the third analysis module is based on a third set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

instructions that cause the computer system to analyze the internal and external data using a fourth analysis module to identify components in the plurality of components that are

shortage risks, wherein the analyzing performed using the fourth analysis module is based on a fourth set of user-defined parameters that qualify the internal or external data;

instructions that cause the computer system to collect and integrate the analyzed data from the first, second, third and fourth analysis modules through a plurality of algorithms that optimize the analyzed data based on the first, second, third and fourth set of user-defined parameters;

instructions that cause the computer system to recommend one or more proposed actions to take with respect to procurement of the plurality of components based on the analyzing performed using the first, second, and third analysis modules collecting and integrating performed; and

instructions that cause the computer system to provide one or more computer-initiated options for fully or partially executing an action in the one or more proposed actions.

Reason for Allowance

- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior art of record, taken individually or in any combination, teaches, inter alia, a method, system and a non-transitory computer readable medium for recommending proposed actions based on the collected and integrated analyzed data, from four analysis modules which each module determines criticality ratings, forecast prices, optimal inventory levels and shortage risks, through a plurality of algorithms that optimize the analyzed data based on four different set of user defined parameters as recited in claims 140, 237 and 238.
- 7. The closest prior art Fox et al., (U.S. 5,491,629), Ouimet (US 2002/0107819 A1) Salvo et al., (US 6,341,271 B1) and Waller et al., (US 2001/0047293 A1) fails to teach or suggest either singularly or in combination recommending proposed actions based on the collected and integrated analyzed data, from four analysis modules which each module determines criticality ratings, forecast prices, optimal inventory levels and shortage risks, through a plurality of algorithms that optimize the analyzed data based on four different set of user defined parameters in claims 140, 237 and 238. Fox et al., teach in col. 6, lines 10-19 and 33-40; Figure 5; A computer system uses

Art Unit: 3623

internal and external data to determine an impact on the retail industry. The internal and external data is used to revise a managerial plan (i.e., make strategic decisions). Fox disclose the discovering and storing of internal and external data. Ouimet teaches the determination of criticality ratings of a plurality of components in ¶ 0069: "[t]he system gives the user the option of ranking" (e.g., determine criticality ratings) "the multiple Strategic Objects" (e.g., the plurality of components) "in terms of weights" (e.g., criticality ratings) "to prioritize multiple strategic objectives" (e.g., indicates the strategic importance of the component of the operations of the enterprise) "or in terms of a target value" (e.g., a first set of user-defined parameters, for example maximize profit) "for a particular Strategic Objective."). Salvo et al., teaches the forecasting of prices in claim 6: "the control unit [...] is capable of estimating future inventory price information to determine if an inventory order should be placed". Waller et al., teaches the determination of the plurality of components in ¶ 0074 which teaches that "the optimization process" enables "a user to efficiently manage inventory" based for a product set that "offers the lowest possible total cost, highest possible economic profit, highest possible unit sales, highest possible sales revenue, highest possible gross margin, or a weighted combination of any of these objectives for the set of products being analyzed" (e.g., a third set of user-defined parameters) wherein "the proposed optimal solution is expressed in numbers of facings of the products or in optimal shelf inventory level". However, Fox et al., in view of Ouimet, Salvo et al., and Waller et al., does not explicitly recommending proposed actions based on the collected and integrated analyzed data, from four analysis modules which each module determines criticality ratings, forecast prices, optimal inventory levels and shortage risks, through a plurality of algorithms that optimize the analyzed data based on four different set of user defined parameters as recited in independent claims 140, 237 and 238.

8. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to NADJA CHONG CRUZ whose telephone number is (571)270-3939. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8 am to 5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Beth Boswell can be reached on (571) 272-6737. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nadja Chong/

Examiner, Art Unit 3623

/Beth V. Boswell/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623